

**SMALL BUSINESS  
INNOVATION RESEARCH**

**A BUDGETING AND  
ACCOUNTING PRIMER**

**SMALL BUSINESS  
INNOVATION RESEARCH**

**A BUDGETING AND ACCOUNTING PRIMER**

**Paul J. Petrovich  
Technology Consultant  
Small Business Development Center**

**Institute for Entrepreneurial Excellence  
Small Business Development Center  
University of Pittsburgh  
Joseph M. Katz Graduate School of Business  
Pittsburgh, PA**

Copyright © 2004 by the University of Pittsburgh Small Business Development Center.

All rights reserved. No parts of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by any means without permission in writing from the publisher.

Written and edited by Paul J. Petrovich.

The University of Pittsburgh SBDC is partially funded under Cooperative Agreement No. SB-2M-00048-11-01 by the U.S. Small Business Administration. The support given by the U.S. Small Business Administration does not constitute an express or implied endorsement of any of the co-sponsors' or participants' opinions, findings, conclusions, recommendations, products or services.

Printed in the United States of America

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Introduction** ..... 1

**SBIR BUDGETING PRIMER**

**A. Background** ..... 3

**B. SBIR Cost Proposal** ..... 5

        Direct Costs  
        Indirect Costs  
        G & A Costs

**C. Illustrations** ..... 12

        Project Matrix  
        Analysis of Available Hours  
        Analysis of Payroll Taxes  
        Indirect Cost Analysis  
        G & A Cost Analysis  
        SBIR Cost Proposal

**SBIR ACCOUNTING PRIMER**

**A. Background** ..... 20

        FASB Statement No. 2  
        FASB Statement No. 68  
        ARB No.43, Chapter 11

**B. Criteria** ..... 26

**C. Methodology** ..... 28

**D. Project Sub-Ledger** ..... 29

**E. Identification** ..... 30

**F. Labor Costs** ..... 32

**G. Segregation** ..... 34

**H. Allocation** ..... 35

**I. Revenue Recognition** ..... 37

        Financial Statement Presentation  
        Illustrations

## **SBIR BUDGETING AND ACCOUNTING PRIMER**

### **Introduction**

The purpose of this primer is to provide a non-financial person with an approach to the preparation of the financial section of an SBIR proposal and to introduce accounting concepts for managing and accounting for an SBIR award.

Briefly, SBIR is the Small Business Development Research program created by the Small Business Innovation Development Act of 1982. This act requires federal agencies to allocate part of their extramural R&D budgets to small companies (under 500 employees) through a competitive bidding process. The objectives of the program are:

- To increase opportunities for small companies in federally funded research.
- To stimulate technological innovation.
- To encourage private sector participation in commercialization of new technologies.

To meet these objectives the program is set-up in three distinctive stages:

- 1) Phase I awards provide up to \$50,000 for six months of feasibility research on topics of interest to a participating federal agency.
- 2) Phase II awards provide up to \$500,000 for two years of research and development for those projects found most promising after Phase I.
- 3) Phase III is funding the new process or product in the marketplace. Monies come from the private sector or regular government procurement contracts (nonSBIR) and are used to commercialize the new technologies created in Phases I and II.

The SBIR Program provides small technology-based companies an opportunity to pursue new ideas. It reduces the risk and provides low cost participation to a company's overall financing strategy. Companies considering SBIR should have a well-defined vision of where they want to be in the marketplace. Phase I and Phase II awards can lead a company to the beginning development stages of a new process or product. Follow-on funding (Phase III), internal or external, will usually be necessary to assist a company with additional development to commercialize its new technology.

The SBIR proposal evaluation criterion involves the scientific and technical merits of the proposed research, the qualifications of the Principal Investigator and company, and the ability to address the soliciting agency's needs. Although it is not the most critical evaluation criteria in the SBIR review process, a well-prepared cost proposal (budget) creates a positive company image and enhances a submitted proposal. Just as in the technical sections of a proposal, the budget should suggest that you and your company are knowledgeable and competent.

## **SBIR BUDGETING PRIMER**

### **A. Background**

A budget is a statement of future plans which identifies expected revenues and resources to be expended in achieving an organization's goals during a specified period. Budgets also serve as a tool to measure performance by comparing actual actions with plans. In general, a budget:

- 1) Is stated in monetary terms.
- 2) Covers a specific period of time.
- 3) Implies commitment and serves as a motivational tool to achieve stated goals.
- 4) Is compared to actual performance, with variances being analyzed and explained.

A key goal in the SBIR Program is to complete the innovation process by bringing to market the product or process developed during the feasibility and development stages of the program. Technology commercialization is considered Phase III of the SBIR Program and should be part of a company's long-range budgeting or planning.

Long-range planning provides general direction covering a three to five year period and forms the basis from which a more detailed plan, encompassing a shorter period is developed. It addresses the direction of the company regarding new markets or products, market share, profit objectives and sources of new capital. Plans for future operations should provide for alternative courses of action for various conditions that may arise. Long-range planning should be an on-going process which forces a company to review goals, take advantage of new opportunities, and lessen the impact of pending threats when necessary.

To complement and carry out the goals of the long-term plan the annual budget of a company is developed. Annual budgets are comprehensive plans for a shorter budget period, typically one year. They are developed through the coordinated planning of all functions and activities within an organization. Each department or function within an organization participates in the budgeting process by developing an operating budget for their direct areas of responsibility. An operating budget includes a forecasted net income statement which summarizes anticipated resources and revenues, direct costs and indirect costs.

A program budget can be part of the company's operating budget and/or part of a specific departments' operating budget. An SBIR cost proposal is best identified with this type of budget. A program budget is a summary document used to forecast direct labor, material, and other costs, including overhead requirements needed to meet a forecasted cash stream.

Within the SBIR Program the cash stream, or available funding limit, for a Phase I and a Phase II award is fixed. Depending on the participating federal agency, these limits are usually \$50,000 and \$500,000, respectively. Working with these limits, and understanding the resource allocation necessary to prepare an effective proposal, the ability of a company to match the total SBIR project to long-range plans must be established. In preliminary management discussions, a company should envision their long-range goals when deciding to participate in the SBIR Program. Consideration should be given to, among other things, total project cost, timing, resource allocation, and research fit with the company's capabilities and growth expectations.

## **B. SBIR Cost Proposal**

When presenting the cost budget in a federal SBIR proposal, the preparer is concerned with presenting the tangible costs of the SBIR project. A familiarity with applicable cost regulations is necessary when participating in the federal SBIR program. Not all project related costs incurred during the performance of a federal SBIR award will be recoverable.

The Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) were established to provide uniform policies and procedures for acquisitions by all federal agencies. The Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) Part 31, set the compliance requirements for pricing, determination, negotiation, and allowance of costs.<sup>1</sup>

Each participating Agency provides explicit instructions for the preparation of a federal SBIR proposal. Standard budget forms are provided with the Program Solicitation and should be used in the final submission of a proposal. A proposer should adhere to individual proposal requirements of a particular agency.

<sup>1</sup> FAR criteria for cost allocability, FAR cost classifications, and FAR selected cost types were covered in detail in "A GUIDE TO THE PREPARATION AND MANAGEMENT OF FEDERAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT GRANTS AND CONTRACTS FOR SMALL FIRMS", available from the Small Business Development Center of the University of Pittsburgh.

Significant questions or concerns which may arise during the budgeting of an SBIR

proposal should be addressed with the corresponding agency. It is important that enough information is provided to allow an agency to understand how the proposer plans to use the requested funds in the contract/grant when awarded.

Some of the common considerations in formatting a federal SBIR proposal budget are the classifications of direct, indirect and general and administrative costs. The categories are defined in the federal regulations as follows:

Direct Costs - Any cost which is identified specifically with a particular contract award, commercial job, or manufacturing process; referred to in the FAR as a final cost objective. Direct costs are not limited to items which are incorporated in the end products as material or labor. Costs identified specifically with a contract are direct costs of that contract. All costs identified specifically with other final cost objectives of the contractor are direct costs of those costs objectives. Clearly, a direct cost benefits a single cost objective.

Indirect Costs - Broadly defined, indirect costs are those incurred by an organization simply to exist. Perhaps most clearly defined by the National Institute of Health "indirect costs are those costs of a institution which are not readily identifiable with a particular project or activity but are nevertheless necessary to the general operation of the institution and the conduct of its activities. The costs of operating and maintaining buildings, grounds and equipment, depreciation, general and department administrative salaries and expenses, and library costs are the type of expenses usually considered as indirect costs."

"In theory, all such costs might be charged directly; practical difficulties, however,

preclude such an approach. Therefore, they are usually grouped into common pool(s) and distributed to those institutional activities benefited through a cost allocation process. The end product of this allocation process is an indirect cost rate(s) which is then applied to individual grant and contract awards to determine the amount of indirect costs chargeable to the award."

Simply, indirect costs are any cost not directly identified with a single final cost objective, but identified with two or more final cost objectives.

General and Administrative (G&A) Costs - Any management, financial, and other expense which is incurred by or allocated to a business unit and which is for the general management and administration of the business unit as a whole. G&A expense does not include those management expenses whose beneficial relationship to cost objectives can be more directly measured by a base other than a cost input base representing the total activity of a business unit during a cost accounting period.

Some common expenses in each of these categories include:

<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>INDIRECT</u>	<u>G&amp;A</u>
Labor	Supervision	Office Salaries
Materials	Supplies	Stationery
Travel	Maintenance	Telephone
Special Testing	Depreciation	Postage
Equipment	Utilities	Bank Charges
Consultants	Rent	Legal Expenses

These examples are not intended to be all-inclusive, but are presented to provide a representation of the costs classifications.

The basic source of information in the SBIR proposal budgeting process is the project work plan with reliance on the annual company budget. Direct project costs are developed through the work plan, while the overall company budget provides assistance in establishing overhead rates. The work plan lists and identifies the tasks necessary to complete the immediate Phase I or Phase II effort. The initial SBIR budgeting process should include a detailed analysis of the work plan, specifically, the direct labor and materials needed to complete each task. Methods for this analysis vary by company, although, one suggestion is to utilize a project matrix. (illustration 1)

A project matrix identifies, by task, all of the estimated direct costs necessary to execute a particular project or phase of a larger project. These costs include direct labor for the principal investigator and other staff involved in the project. Costs of justifiable equipment and needed materials to perform the research are identified. Also included are other possible direct costs, such as, travel, computer services, consultant services and subcontracts. A considerable portion of this work can be performed as the proposal work plan is being drafted. The Principal Investigator can make budgeting related notes and matrix entries, as he designs the tasks to be performed.

Project costs should be budgeted as accurately as possible. Common SBIR budget weaknesses include over and under budgeting a project. A company will not necessarily be viewed more favorably if it intentionally offers more work than a \$50,000 award allows. This may create an impression that the company is not fully aware of what it takes not only

to complete the Phase I project, but the entire innovation process as well. Conversely, a lower than \$50,000 project disguised as such, for obvious reasons, will not generate a favorable review.

Indirect costs are often overlooked and are more difficult to quantify, than direct costs, especially for a start-up company. Indirect costs are a viable component of a company's total cost structure and are commonly allowable, with FAR restrictions, for reimbursement in the SBIR program. However, as noted in the definition they cannot be randomly or directly charged to a project. They must be allocated to the various projects of a company using some equitable base.

Companies submitting SBIR proposals have to identify their indirect costs, and allocate a portion to the budgeted cost proposal. This will require estimates of business costs to be incurred and necessitate a review of the company's history, if available. Adequate support should be accumulated or prepared to substantiate budgeted costs which may be required during the negotiation of an award. Once aware of their total overhead costs companies can calculate and apply established overhead rates to the project matrix to complete the cost proposal.

To estimate and calculate an indirect cost rate, a fair and reasonable base to consistently allocate estimated costs has to be determined. The primary objective is to insure the most equitable application of overhead cost to the projects undertaken. The direct labor costs base is the most widely used method of applying overhead for small SBIR companies. Total costs is used as a base for general and administrative expenses.

The following sections of the FAR provide guidance on the allocation of indirect costs:

FAR 30.418 lists three fundamental requirements as:

- a) A business shall have a written statement of accounting policies and practices for classifying costs as direct or indirect, which shall be consistently applied.
- b) Indirect costs shall be accumulated in indirect cost pools, which are homogeneous.
- c) Pooled costs shall be allocated to cost objectives in a reasonable proportion to the beneficial or casual relationship of the pooled costs to cost objectives.

FAR 31.203(b) states that ... "Indirect costs shall be accumulated by logical cost groupings with due consideration of the reasons for incurring such costs. Each grouping should be determined so as to permit distribution of the grouping on the basis of the benefits accruing to the several cost objectives. Commonly, Manufacturing overhead, selling expenses, and general and administrative (G&A) expenses are separately grouped. Similarly, the particular case may require subdivision of these groupings, e.g., building occupancy costs might be separable from those of personnel administration within the manufacturing overhead group. This necessitates selecting a distribution base common to all cost objectives to which the grouping is to be allocated. The base should be selected so as to permit allocation of the grouping on the basis of the benefits accruing to the several cost objectives. When substantially the same results can be achieved through less precise methods the number and composition of cost groupings should be governed by practical considerations and should not unduly complicate the allocation."

FAR 31.203(c) states ... " Once an appropriate base for distributing indirect costs has been accepted, it shall not be fragmented by removing individual elements. All items properly included in an indirect cost base should bear a pro rata share of indirect costs irrespective of their acceptance as Government contract costs. For

example, when a cost input base is used for the distribution of G&A costs, all items that would properly be part of the cost input base, whether allowable or unallowable, shall be included in the base and bear their pro rata share of G&A costs."

FAR 31.203(e) states ..." A base period for allocating indirect costs is the cost accounting period during which such costs are incurred and accumulated for distribution to work performed in that period. ... For contracts subject to modified Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) coverage and for non-CAS-covered contracts, the base period for allocating indirect costs will normally be the contractor's fiscal year. But a shorter period may be appropriate (1) for contracts in which performance involves only a minor portion of the fiscal year, or (2) when it is general practice in the industry to use a shorter period. When a contract is performed over an extended period, as many base periods shall be used as are required to represent the period of contract performance."

Total estimated indirect cost is divided by the total estimated company direct labor dollars to arrive at an indirect cost rate. This rate will be applied as a percentage to the direct labor dollars included in the project matrix to arrive at the total indirect costs for that project.

The calculation of the indirect costs rates should utilize cost information of similar periods (i.e. monthly, quarterly or annually). If a history does not exist, the indirect cost rate can be calculated based upon realistic projections over similar periods.

### **C. Illustrations**

Illustrations 1 through 5, present a simplified example of the SBIR budgeting process for a small company.

**This example is for illustration purposes. The rates are not intended to indicate the**

**"correct rates" for the federal SBIR program.**

The illustrations are intended to serve as a guide to the process of establishing indirect costs rates and an SBIR cost proposal. All companies are unique and rates will vary according to size and industry. Issues that may be peculiar to a situation not considered here should be researched in the FAR and/or with the appropriate Federal agency.

In Illustration 1, the project matrix summarizes by task the direct costs allocated to the anticipated SBIR Phase I project. For the example, it was determined that additional help would be necessary to perform the Phase I project without interruption to the company's product manufacturing. A competent full-time assistant and a part-time clerk will have to be hired to fulfill the Phase I effort. A time allocation summary (Illustration 2) was prepared to identify the available time for the company. Illustrations 3 through 5 provide the indirect and G&A cost analysis for the company. Illustration 6 is the completed cost proposal for the example company's SBIR Phase I project.

Ample time and consideration must be allotted to prepare a final program budget for submission. The first budget draft may be well over or under the \$100,000 limit for a Phase I award. Additional analysis will always be necessary, which may also result in work plan revisions. Many decisions will be made. The final budget should represent the most feasible allocation of resources to work the SBIR project within a company's framework and to the satisfaction of the federal agencies. The budgeting effort extended will go a long way toward the building of a systematic approach to repeat the process.

Illustration 1

SMALL TECHNOLOGY COMPANY  
 SBIR PHASE I - PROJECT MATRIX  
 SIX MONTH PROJECT  
 ANTICIPATED BEGIN DATE: SEPTEMBER 1, 2004

TAS	K	EMPLOYEE	DIRECT	DIRECT	DIRECT	TASK TOTALS				
			LABOR	LABOR	LABOR	HOURS/	DIRECT	DIRECT	DIRECT	TOTALS
			RATE	HRS	DOLLARS	DOLLARS	EQUIPMENT	MATERIALS	TRAVEL	TOTALS
#1	P.I.		\$ 80	75	\$ 6,000					
	ASST		40	107	4,286					
	TECH		25	13	333					
	ACTG		18	52	936	261				
	CLERK		10	14	139	\$ 11,694	\$ 5,000	\$ 1,500	\$ -	\$ 18,194
#2	P.I.		\$ 80	38	\$ 3,000					
	ASST		40	107	4,286					
	TECH		25	27	667					
	ACTG		18	0	0	241				
	CLERK		10	70	695	\$ 8,648	\$ -	\$ 800	\$ 1,200	\$ 10,648
#3	P.I.		\$ 80	25	\$ 2,000					
	ASST		40	54	2,143					
	TECH		25	80	2,000					
	ACTG		18	0	0	270				
	CLERK		10	111	1,112	\$ 7,255	\$ -	\$ 600	\$ -	\$ 7,855
#4	P.I.		\$ 80	63	\$ 5,000					
	ASST		40	54	2,143					
	TECH		25	13	333					
	ACTG		18	0	0	199				
	CLERK		10	70	695	\$ 8,171	\$ -	\$ 900	\$ -	\$ 9,071
#5	P.I.		\$ 80	50	\$ 4,000					
	ASST		40	54	2,143					
	TECH		25	67	1,667					
	ACTG		18	0	0	202				
	CLERK		10	32	318	\$ 8,128	\$ -	\$ 500	\$ -	\$ 8,628
						1173				
				1173	\$ 43,896	\$ 43,896	\$ 5,000	\$ 4,300	\$ 1,200	\$ 54,396
<u>EMPLOYEE TOTALS</u>										
				250	\$ 20,000					
				375	15,000					
				200	5,000					
				52	936					
				296	2,960					
				1173	\$ 43,896					

Illustration 2

SMALL TECHNOLOGY COMPANY  
ANALYSIS OF AVAILABLE HOURS  
FOR BUDGETING OF SBIR PHASE I  
FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIOD BEGINNING  
September 1, 2004

<u>PERCENTAGES:</u>	<u>LABOR DISTRIBUTION</u> <u>PERCENTAGES</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>DL (1)</u>	<u>DL (2)</u>	<u>IL (3)</u>	<u>G&amp;A (4)</u>	<u>TOTALS</u>
	Principal Investigator	1040	24%	44%	14%	18%	100%
	Assistant	1040	36%	45%	19%	0%	100%
	Technician	1040	19%	35%	46%	0%	100%
	Accting/Office Manager	1040	5%	15%	35%	45%	100%
	Clerk	1560	19%	32%	41%	8%	100%
	Totals: %		21%	34%	31%	14%	100%
	hours	5720	1173	1944	1823	780	5720

<u>HOURS:</u>	<u>LABOR DISTRIBUTION</u> <u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>					
	Principal Investigator	\$ 80	250	458	145	187	1040
	Assistant	\$ 40	375	467	198	0	1040
	Technician	\$ 25	200	364	476	0	1040
	Accting/Office Manager	\$ 18	52	156	364	468	1040
	Clerk	\$ 10	296	499	640	125	1560
			1173	1944	1823	780	5720

<u>DOLLARS:</u>	<u>LABOR DISTRIBUTION</u> <u>DOLLARS</u>					
	Principal Investigator	\$20,000	\$36,640	\$11,600	\$14,960	\$83,200
	Assistant	15,000	18,680	7,920	0	41,600
	Technician	5,000	9,100	11,900	0	26,000
	Accting/Office Manager	936	2,808	6,552	8,424	18,720
	Clerk	2,960	4,990	6,400	1,250	15,600
		\$43,896	\$72,218	\$44,372	\$24,634	\$185,120

- (1) Direct labor, Phase I.
- (2) Direct labor, company operations.
- (3) Company indirect labor.
- (4) Company general and administrative labor.

Illustration 3

SMALL TECHNOLOGY COMPANY  
 ANALYSIS OF PAYROLL TAXES  
 FOR BUDGETING OF SBIR PHASE I  
 FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIOD BEGINNING  
 September 1, 2004

	<u>Wages</u>	<u>FICA</u>	<u>FUTA</u>	<u>SUTA</u>	<u>TOTAL EMPLOYER PAY TAX</u>
PI	\$83,200.00	\$6,364.80	\$56.00	\$280.00	\$6,700.80
ASST	41,600.00	3,182.40	56.00	280.00	3,518.40
TECH	26,000.00	1,989.00	208.00	910.00	3,107.00
ACTG SUP	18,720.00	1,432.08	149.76	655.20	2,237.04
CLRK/SEC	15,600.00	1,193.40	124.80	546.00	1,864.20
Total wages	<u>\$185,120.00</u>	<u>\$14,161.68</u>	<u>\$594.56</u>	<u>\$2,671.20</u>	<u>\$17,427.44</u>

SMALL TECHNOLOGY COMPANY  
INDIRECT COST ANALYSIS  
FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIOD BEGINNING  
September 1, 2004

<u>Indirect labor:</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
PI	145	\$80	\$11,600
ASST	198	35	6,930
TECH	476	25	11,900
ACTG SUPPORT	364	18	6,552
CLERK/SECRETARY	640	10	<u>6,400</u>
Total Indirect Labor			\$43,382
Indirect Payroll Taxes			
PI			\$5,496 (1)
FA			3,518
US			3,107
Office Manager			1,230
Clerk/Secretary			<u>1,715</u>
			15,067
Laboratory rental	ave mo=	\$600	3,600
Local travel	per yr=	\$500	250
Gas and Oil			750
Depreciation			3,800
Repairs and Maintenance			1,500
Supplies			1,300
Utilities			1,100
Telephone	ave/ per mo=	\$95	570
Insurance			
Property and equipment			520
Auto			<u>600</u>
Total Indirect costs			<u>\$72,439</u>
Total Direct Labor			<u>\$116,114 (2)</u>
Indirect Overhead Percentage		(indirect/direct)	<u>62.00%</u>
(1) PI direct labor Phase I		\$20,000	
PI direct labor operations		36,640	
PI indirect labor		<u>11,600</u>	<u>68,240</u>
PI total labor		<u>\$83,200</u>	
\$68,240/\$83,200			
x PI wage taxes (\$6,701) =		<u>\$5,496</u>	
(2) Total Direct Labor:			
Direct project labor		\$43,896	
Direct operations labor		<u>72,218</u>	
		<u>\$116,114</u>	

Illustration 5

SMALL TECHNOLOGY COMPANY  
 GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE COST ANALYSIS  
 FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIOD BEGINNING  
 September 1, 2004

<u>G &amp; A labor:</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
PI	187	\$80	\$14,960
ASST	0	40	0
TECH	0	25	0
ACTG SUPPORT	468	18	8,424
CLERK/SECRETARY	125	10	<u>1,250</u>
Total G&A Labor			\$24,634
G&A Payroll Taxes			
PI			\$1,205
FA			0
US			0
Office Manager			1,007
Clerk/Secretary			<u>149</u>
			2,361
Office rental	per mo=	\$120	720
Legal services			500
Accounting services			600
Office expenses			120
Miscellaneous supplies			60
Postage/Parcel			150
Telephone	ave/ per mo=	\$30	180
Memberships/dues/subscriptions			<u>250</u>
total G&A costs			\$29,575
total costs			<u>\$217,422 (1)</u>
G&A %			<u><u>14.00%</u></u>

(1) total costs:	
estimated direct project labor	43,896
estimated direct operations labor	72,218
estimated direct project equipment	5,000
estimated direct project materials	4,300
estimated direct project travel	1,200
estimated direct operation costs	18,369
estimated indirect costs	<u>72,439</u>
total estimated costs	<u><u>217,422</u></u>

Illustration 6

SMALL TECHNOLOGY COMPANY  
 SBIR PHASE I COST PROPOSAL  
 FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIOD BEGINNING  
 September 1, 2004

DIRECT LABOR		\$43,896	
DIRECT EQUIP		5,000	
DIRECT MATERIALS		4,300	
DIRECT TRAVEL		<u>1,200</u>	
TOTAL DIRECT COSTS			54,396
INDIRECT OVERHEAD PERCENTAGE	62%		
INDIRECT OVERHEAD EXPENCE			<u>27,216 (1)</u>
TOTAL DIRECT PLUS INDIRECT COSTS			81,612
G & A PERCENTAGE	14%		
G & A EXPENSE			<u>11,426 (2)</u>
TOTAL COSTS OF CONTRACT			93,037
PROFIT	7%		<u>6,513 (3)</u>
TOTAL PROJECT COST			<u><u>\$99,550</u></u>

(1) Direct labor (\$43,896) multiplied by the Indirect overhead percentage (62%).

(2) Total costs (\$81,612) multiplied by the G&A percentage (14%).

(3) Most SBIR solicitations permit a reasonable profit to the total cost of the contract. The final profit decision rests with the awarding agency.

## **SBIR ACCOUNTING PRIMER**

### **A. Background**

SBIR contractors are required to provide detailed information to awarding agencies concerning contract costs incurred. For the SBIR company, a cost accounting system should capture and classify direct costs, material, labor, etc., and overhead burden. This is necessary, not only for Federal reporting and billing purposes, but is conducive to effective total cost control as well.

Government contract cost accounting rules are governed by Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) which are included in the FAR, Part 30. Small businesses are exempt from CAS, however, SBIR contracts typically contain a clause requiring a contractor to maintain records using any generally accepted method of measuring costs. The method must be equitable and consistently applied to all costs incurred in performing a contract. FAR 31.203-Indirect Costs, subsection (d) states ..." The contractors method of allocating indirect costs shall be in accordance with standards promulgated by the CAS Board, if applicable to the contract; otherwise, the method shall be in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles which are consistently applied."

Generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) are established by the accounting profession and are used primarily to ensure the comparability of a public company's financial information. A review of GAAP's related to research and development, and contractual reporting will provide background to understanding SBIR cost accounting concepts.

- Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 2, Accounting for Research and Development (R&D) Costs: Introduces some uniformity into the accounting for R&D. It requires that all R&D costs be expensed when incurred except:
  - Intangible assets that have been purchased from others and have alternative future uses; and
  - Tangible assets that have alternative future uses.

Required financial statement disclosures are the total R&D costs charged to expense for each period for which an income statement is presented. FASB No. 2 identifies R&D costs as:

- Materials, equipment, and facilities that are acquired for R&D projects and that have no alternative uses;
  - Personnel costs, such as salaries;
  - Intangibles purchased from others that do not have alternative future uses;
  - Contract services for R&D; and
  - Indirect costs, based on a reasonable allocation approach.
- FASB Statement No. 68, Research and Development Arrangements: Provides guidance on accounting for R&D costs which are funded by other parties. The essential thrust of this Statement is to record a liability when an enterprise is required to repay amounts received to conduct R&D, regardless of the outcome of the research. A liability is recorded when the enterprise is obligated or committed (though not required) to repay the funds received for R&D or when conditions

surrounding the project indicate that it is probable the amounts will be repaid.

In other arrangements, the enterprise conducting the R&D may be performing contract services for others, in which repayment depends on whether the project is a success. In this situation, the amounts received are treated as advances and costs incurred are charged against the advance account. The party making the advance accounts for its payment as an R&D cost.

**The above FASB Statements specifically state that they do not address government sponsored research and development conducted for others under a contractual arrangement. They are presented to identify accounting principles governing R&D expenses and reporting.**

- Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 43, Chapter 11, Government Contracts, Section A - Cost-Plus-Fixed-Fee Contracts: ARB No. 43 specifically deals with accounting problems arising under cost-plus-fixed-fee (CPFF) contracts. Note that SBIR Phase II contracts are usually CPFF. Other instruments commonly used for SBIR awards, depending upon the awarding agency, are firm-fixed-price contracts (typically Phase I) and grants. ARB #43 can also be used as guidance for these funding instruments.

This bulletin identifies and answers four important accounting problems common to all CPFF contracts.

**1) When should fees under such contracts be included in the contractor's**

### **income statement?**

It is a generally accepted accounting procedure to accrue revenues under certain types of contracts and thereby recognize profits, on the basis of partial performance, where the circumstances are such that total profit can be estimated with reasonable accuracy and ultimate realization is reasonably assured. There is ample precedent for pro rata recognition of profit as the work progresses, if the total profit and the ratio of the performance to date to the complete performance can be computed reasonably and collection is reasonably assured. Depending upon the circumstances, partial performance may be established by deliveries, expenditures, or percentage of completion otherwise determined. (ARB#43, Ch11, par 13)

The basic problem in dealing with CPFF contracts is the measure of partial performance, i.e., whether fees should be accrued; a) under the established rules as to partial deliveries; b) by percentage of completion otherwise determined, or whether; c) the fees should be accrued as they are billable. (ARB#43, Ch11, par 15)

Ordinarily it is acceptable to accrue the fees as they become billable. The outstanding characteristic of CPFF contracts is reimbursement for all allowable costs, plus payment of a fixed fee for the contractor's efforts. The fee appears to be earned when allowable costs are incurred or paid and the fee is billable. Finally, accrual on the basis of amounts billable is ordinarily not a departure from existing rules of accrual on the basis of partial performance, but rather a distinctive application of the rule for determining percentage of completion. (ARB#43, Ch11, par 16)

Judgment must be exercised in each case about whether accrual of the fee when billable is preferable to accrual on the usual basis of delivery or percentage of completion. While the approval of the government as to amounts billable would ordinarily be regarded as objective evidence, factors may exist which suggest an earlier or later accrual. Such factors include indications of large differences between estimated and final costs. Examples include situations where: preparatory or tooling-up costs were much more than estimated; raw material needs were greatly and unduly anticipated by advance purchases; or delays in delivery schedules or other circumstances suggest that costs are exceeding estimates. Excess costs may be indicated in some cases to such an extent that accrual of fee before actual production would be unwise. Where such a situation exists the usual rule of deliveries or percentage of completion may be a preferable method of accruing the fee.(ARB#43,Ch11,par 17)

If accrual in relation to expenditures is otherwise suitable it shall be on the basis of amounts billable, since such matters as clerical delays in assembling data for billing should not affect the income statement. Accrual on the basis of 100 percent of the fee is ordinarily preferable since complete performance is to be expected under ordinary circumstances. Care must be exercised, of course, to provide for possible nonrealization if there is doubt about the collection of claimed costs or the related fee.(ARB#43,Ch11,par 18)

**2. What amounts are to be included in sales or revenue accounts?**

This problem is whether sales or revenue as reported in the income statement should include reimbursable costs and the fee, or the fee alone. The answer to

this question depends upon the terms of the contract and upon judgment as to which method gives the more useful information.(ARB#43,Ch11,par 19)

Some CPFF contracts are service contracts under which the contractor acts solely in an agency capacity, whether in the erection of facilities or the management of operations. These appear to call for inclusion in the income statement of the fee alone. For supply contracts, however, the contractor is more than an agent. For instance, he is responsible to a) creditors for materials and services purchased; b) employees for salaries and wages; and, c) he ordinarily uses his own facilities in carrying out his agreement. His position in many respects is that of an ordinary principal. In view of these facts, and the desirability of indicating the volume of his activities, it appears desirable to include reimbursable costs, as well as fees, in sales or revenues.(ARB#43,Ch11,par 20)

**3. What is the proper balance-sheet classification of unbilled costs and fees?**

The principal reason for the existence of unbilled costs at any date is the time usually required, to assemble data for billing. The right to bill usually exists upon expenditure or accrual, and that right unquestionably represents a receivable rather than an advance or inventory. There is nevertheless a difference in character between billed items and unbilled costs and distinction shall be made between them on the balance sheet.(ARB#43,Ch11,par 21)

**4. What is the proper balance-sheet treatment of various items, debit and credit, identified with CPFF contracts?**

In statements of current assets and current liabilities, amounts due to and from the

same person are ordinarily offset where, under the law, they may be offset in the process of collection or payment. An advance received on a contract is, however, usually not offset unless it is definitely regarded as a payment on account of contract work in progress, in which event it will be shown as a deduction from the related asset. An advance on a CPFF contract usually is made to provide a revolving fund and is not ordinarily applied as a partial payment until the contract is completed or nears completion. It therefore appears to be preferable to offset advances on CPFF contracts against receivables in connection with the contracts only when it is expected that the advances will be applied in payment of those particular charges. In any case, amounts offset should be clearly disclosed.(ARB#43,Ch11,par22)

## **B. Criteria**

Cost accounting involves systems and procedures which lead from original source documents to journal entries to summary reports. These specially designed summary reports enable management to control the cost of individual projects, as well as, the overall company. Cost accounting systems support:

- Cost determination and measurement,
- Planning and control, and
- Analysis for decision-making purposes.

A comprehensive cost accounting system will be necessary to determine profitability and to manage commercial business and SBIR projects. The budgeting process is an ideal

place for a pre-SBIR company to identify and plan for future cost accounting requirements. The annual and program/project budgets identify all the direct and indirect costs of the company to be monitored. The cost accounting system should efficiently measure and record costs related to all program/projects, and provide equitable overhead allocation. The system developed should be flexible to support new activity, such as additional SBIR awards or commercial business, with little disturbance to operations.

Federal agencies frequently request a pre-award accounting survey to determine a new contractors accounting capabilities. There are usually two categories of concern during a pre-award survey. One deals with the financial responsibility of the contractor to perform the contract. The other deals with the adequacy of the accounting system to accumulate cost information required by a Federal contract. Small companies designing a cost accounting system to monitor SBIR contracts/grants should be familiar with the requirements of an adequate accounting system. During a pre-award accounting survey, the accounting system is reviewed for:

- a) Proper segregation of direct costs from indirect costs.
- b) Identification and accumulation of direct costs by contract. Within the cost accounting system, subsidiary cost records for each cost center (SBIR projects and other company work) should be maintained.
- c) A logical and consistent method for the allocation of indirect costs to the various cost centers.
- d) Accumulation of costs under general ledger control.
- e) A timekeeping system that identifies employees' labor by cost center.
- f) A labor distribution system that charges direct and indirect labor to the appropriate

cost objectives.

- g) Interim (at least monthly) determination of costs charged to a contract through routine posting of books of account.
- h) Exclusion of unallowable costs.
- i) Identification of costs by contract line item.

Companies have the flexibility to design an accounting system to meet their specific needs. An acceptable accounting system for SBIR contracts/grants adequately accumulates, identifies, and bills allowable costs to a related government contract and meets the criteria discussed on the previous page.

### **C. Methodology**

Accounting for an SBIR award can be supported by a manufacturing concept commonly known as job order costing. Under job order costing, each job is an accounting unit identified by some systematic numbering system to which labor, material, and overhead is assigned. For an SBIR contractor each award would be an accounting unit to which direct costs are charged and indirect costs are allocated. Commercial work of the SBIR contractor would also be treated similarly, receiving direct cost charges and an equitable allocation of indirect costs.

In a manufacturing environment the costs of each job produced are recorded in subsidiary job cost ledgers. The cost ledgers are reconciled and controlled in the general ledger through a work-in-process control account. Modified for an SBIR contract/grant, this process is very similar. Differences occur in account classification. In a manufacturing concern producing a final product for sale, the work-in-process account is an asset, similar

to inventories. For the SBIR contractor, however, the in-process or control account will be classified as an expense, as R&D costs are expended when incurred.

The fundamental concept in accounting for a federal SBIR award is to adequately and accurately track the related project costs. The accounting system must allow for an audit trail which will enable government auditors to easily trace recorded costs to source documents. A selection of accounts is necessary to begin to monitor and control an award. Depending upon the number of projects and the required internal financial information, companies will design an information system to meet their needs. Usually the company will maintain general ledger control accounts with subsidiary ledger detail support for each activity.

#### **D. Project Sub-Ledger**

Each SBIR contract/grant awarded to a company should be controlled by a subsidiary contract or project sub-ledger. A project sub-ledger gathers all detailed cost information for analyzing and reporting individual projects, government related as well as, independent research or commercial work. All detailed project related expenses are recorded. The project sub-ledger contains all direct costs, and overhead and G&A expenses applied to the project. The project sub-ledger will support a general ledger control account designed to record all contract related costs. For this primer, this control account will be identified as "Cost of Contract Research" (CCR). This account is classified as an operations expense for financial statement presentation. The general ledger control account can be posted concurrently with the subsidiary ledger or in summary, depending upon the accounting system employed and the volume of

transactions.

## **E. Identification**

The cost accounting system should identify and accumulate direct costs by contract/grant by line item. Direct expenses of an SBIR contract/grant will be recorded in the CCR general ledger control account and in the project sub-ledger. Specific identification for a particular contract/grant will be dictated by the negotiated budget. Included are items such as direct labor, equipment, materials/supplies, and travel.

The source of entry to the CCR account will be the Cash Disbursement or Accounts Payable Journal. Journals are used to record all accounting transactions. The use of specific journals provides for the summarization of individual transactions before posting to the general ledger. The form of the cash disbursement journal will vary among firms and can be in a format as simple as a checkbook register. Vendor invoices, lease agreements and internal documents serve as source documents of original entry which should be systematically filed, to create as suitable audit trail.

### Example entries:

Recording the purchase of direct materials can be accomplished in several ways depending upon the sophistication of the company and accounting capabilities. Assuming that the company does not maintain a materials inventory, the entry for the purchase of direct materials would be:

SBIR Phase I

	<u>contract sub-ledger</u>	<u>debit</u>	<u>credit</u>
CCR		xxx	
SBIR Sub-Ledger - direct materials	xxx		
Cash or Accounts Payable			xxx

The invoice used to record the journal will identify the specific job(s) or contract/grant number(s) to be used for posting to the subsidiary contract ledger.

Operating a materials inventory system would require a requisition from a department head or the principal investigator to transfer the material. The materials inventory account would replace the CCR account in the above entry when recording the purchase of materials. The transfer of materials from inventory to an SBIR contract/grant is recorded as follows:

SBIR Phase I			
		debit	credit
CCR		xxx	
SBIR Sub-Ledger - direct materials	xxx		
Materials Inventory			xxx

The materials requisition used to record the journal will identify the specific job or contract/grant number to be used for posting to the subsidiary contract ledger. This procedure provides additional controls over a company's material inventory, which can serve to prevent and detect unintentional or intentional defalcations. The Materials Inventory Control account can also be supported by a sub-ledger which identifies individual inventory items.

An SBIR contractor can record these entries without posting directly to the sub-ledger. This would require detailed month-end analysis of the accounting records to monitor and

post summary totals of contract/project costs. Depending upon transaction volume this may be feasible. A company has to developed a system which is most practical depending upon the resources they employ.

## **F. Labor Costs**

Direct labor is a direct cost that can be identified specifically with a particular activity. The process of allocating direct labor to individual projects originates in an employees individual recording of time. Because labor costs are not supported by external documents, a company's timekeeping procedures are critical for governmental and cost accounting principles. The individual employee's understanding and cooperation in timekeeping procedures is the fundamental step is accurate labor cost accumulation. The Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) provides the following suggestions to assure a good timekeeping system:

Detailed instructions for timecard preparation should be documented through a timekeeping pamphlet and/or company procedure. The instructions should indicate that the employee is personally responsible for:

- a. Recording time on a daily basis.
- b. Recording time on the timecard in ink.
- c. The correct distribution of time by project numbers, contract number or name, or other identifiers for a particular assignment. To ensure accuracy, the project number should be provided in writing to the employee before beginning a

project.

- d. Changes to the timecard should be lined through, with the employee's initials beside the change indicating the employee personally made the change and that the change is correct.
- e. Recording all hours worked even if more than 40 hours per week.
- f. Signing the timecard at the end of each work period.
- g. Timekeeping policy should state that the supervisor:

- 1) Approves and co-signs all timecards.
- 2) Is prohibited from completing an employee's timecard unless the employee is absent for a prolonged period of time on some form of authorized leave.

The company policy should state that the accurate and complete preparation of timecards is a part of the employee's job. Careless or improper preparation may lead to disciplinary actions under company policies as well as applicable Federal statutes.

The manipulation of charges to a contract may be subject to criminal charges under 18 United States Code (U.S.C.) 1001, which reads as follows:

" Whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the united states knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry

shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned not more than five years, or both."

The individual employee timecards are the source document for distributing labor costs to the general ledger and individual project sub-ledgers. The timecards are summarized on a labor distribution report, used to prepare the accounting journal entry.

### **G. Segregation**

Indirect overhead expenses are controlled through overhead cost pools, subsidiary ledgers which accumulate and summarize all indirect costs. Overhead Cost Pools are controlled in the general ledger through the use of an Overhead Control Account.

The steps involved in accounting for overhead are:

- a. Analysis and segregation of overhead transactions,
- b. Journalization of overhead transactions, and,
- c. Posting overhead transactions to the overhead subsidiary ledger and the appropriate control accounts.

The principal original source documents used for overhead are; purchase vouchers or vendor invoices; materials requisitions, if employed; labor summaries; and general journal vouchers. The source of entry to the subsidiary ledger and general ledger is the cash disbursement or accounts payable journal.

Overhead cost pools are used to record the actual indirect costs incurred by a company.

Simply, an entry to record an overhead expense such as rent is demonstrated on the next page.

	<u>Overhead Cost Pool</u>	<u>Debit</u>	<u>Credit</u>
Overhead Control		xxx	
Laboratory Rent	xxx		
Accounts Payable or Cash			xxx

The overhead cost pool provides the detail for all overhead expenses and should be reconciled to the overhead control account on a regular basis. Additionally, systems may be set-up to provide for summary posting to the Overhead Control account.

## **H. Allocation**

Allocation of certain costs to individual projects requires analysis each reporting period, preferably monthly. This is necessary to distribute labor and indirect costs equitably among all company projects. The allocation base used to determine indirect cost distribution in a SBIR participating company is typically direct labor costs.

After all costs have been posted and the labor distribution analysis is completed, an entry will be required to distribute the overhead cost among the projects of the company. Overhead allocation can be based on:

Actual direct labor dollar percentages - each project or activity receives a proportionate share of the accounting periods recorded overhead costs based upon the ratio of actual project direct labor dollars to total direct labor dollars.

Predetermined Overhead Rate - Each project or activity receives an allocation of overhead based upon a predetermined rate to be applied to project direct labor dollars. Predetermined rates are developed in the budget stage or during historical analysis and are applied to each project during the accounting period.

Contract/Grant Billing Rates - Similar to above, however the agreed upon contract/grant billing rates are used to charge overhead to contracts/grants. This rate is determined by the contracting agency.

An account in the general ledger called Overhead Applied can be used when allocating overhead to specific projects or activities. The entry will charge the CCR account(s) and also be recorded in the appropriate project sub-ledger(s):

	Project	--General Ledger--	
	<u>Ledger</u>	<u>Debit</u>	<u>Credit</u>
CCR		xxx	
Applied Overhead	xxx		
Overhead Applied			xxx

The general ledger overhead applied account is closed to the actual overhead control account at the end of the accounting period. This transaction is performed by debiting the overhead applied account and crediting the overhead control account.

The overhead control account can be analyzed monthly after all postings have been

made. The debit side of the account represents actual expenses incurred during the period, while the credit side represents the overhead applied. The two sides will rarely equal. A debit balance indicates that overhead has been underapplied and a credit balance means that overhead has been overapplied. These variances must be analyzed by management for proper disposition. They reveal valuable information regarding the efficiency of operations and provide timely information needed to manage federal reimbursement contract/grants.

A company with more than one contract can utilize additional CCR accounts in the general ledger with a corresponding sub-ledger for each. Or, one CCR account can provide control through a subsidiary ledger system segregating the contracts/grants in some reasonable manner.

## **I. Revenue Recognition**

Revenue recognition of work performed under CPFF contracts was discussed earlier during the discussion of ARB No. 43, Chapter 11. It is important to emphasize that revenue under a SBIR contract should be recognized in the same period as allowable and billable costs are incurred. As noted in ARB#43, it is acceptable to accrue allowable costs plus the fixed fee as they become billable. This requires the SBIR company to book a receivable. The entries to record revenue and the related receivable are:

Debit

Credit

SBIR Un-Billed Receivable	xxx	
SBIR Retainage Receivable	xxx	
Contract/Grant Research Revenue		xxx

Description: To recognize revenue for period on the basis of amounts billable (ARB#43,ch11,par16) and as a supply contractor (ARB#43,ch11,par20). Amount would equal contract/grant related expenses recorded in CCR, and, would also include negotiated profit percentage. The additional debit to Retainage represents the amount the held back until contract/grant completion. (usually 10-15%)

	Debit	Credit
SBIR Billed Receivable    x	xx	
SBIR Unbilled Receivable		xxx

Description: To complete transfer of previously unbilled receivables to billed receivables.

Financial statement presentation and footnote disclosure on accounting policies for revenue recognition and accounts receivable for a manufacturing company involved with SBIR contracts/grants are presented on the following pages:

## REVENUE

Year ended December 31,

	<u>20xx</u>	<u>20xy</u>
Net sales and revenues:		
Contract research and service revenues	\$15,000	\$12,500
Manufacturing sales	<u>3,800</u>	<u>5,090</u>
	<u>18,800</u>	<u>17,590</u>
Costs and expenses:		
Cost of contract research and services	11,600	10,200
Cost of manufacturing	3,200	4,100
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	<u>3,800</u>	<u>2,900</u>
	<u>18,600</u>	<u>17,200</u>
Earnings from operations	<u>200</u>	<u>390</u>

### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

"Revenue is recognized when a product is shipped or when service is performed, with the exception of long-term contracts. Revenue on long-term contracts and contracts in progress is recognized under the percentage of completion method, reflecting, where appropriate, a pro rata portion of estimated profit or a reduction to estimated realizable value. Sales under cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts are recorded as costs are incurred and include a pro rata portion of estimated profit."

## ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

	December 31,	
	<u>20xx</u>	<u>20xw</u>
Accounts Receivable, trade		
Amounts billed	3,300	2,700
Retainage	216	156
Unbilled costs	<u>2,400</u>	<u>1,800</u>
	5,916	4,656
Less allowance		
for doubtful accounts	(200)	- 0 -
Net accounts receivable	<u>5,716</u>	<u>4,656</u>

Related footnote disclosure further describes:

"Unbilled costs on contracts in progress represent revenues recognized on contracts for which billings have not been presented to customers as of each balance date presented. These amounts are billed and generally collected within one year.

Retainage represents revenues on certain United States Government-sponsored research and development contracts. These amounts, usually 15% of the Company's research fee on each applicable contract, are not collectible until a final cost review has been performed by government auditors. Included in retainage are amounts expected to be collected after one year: \$216 and \$156 at December 31, 19xx and 19xw, respectively. All other accounts receivable are expected to be collected within one year.

All contracts with United States Government agencies have been audited and settled through December 31, 19xv. In the opinion of management, any cost disallowances which may be incurred as a result of future government audits for periods after December 31, 19xv, will not be material to the consolidated financial statements. The company has not incurred significant losses as a result of government audits."

Small Technology Company  
 SBIR Project Sub-Ledger

Date:

Cost Summary for SBIR Project #

Date/ Reference -----	Direct Labor :-----	Direct Material :-----	Direct Travel :-----	Direct Other :-----	Applied Overhead :-----	Subtotal :-----	Applied G & A :-----	Total :-----
---								
---								
---								
Period totals								
---								
---								
---								
Period totals								
Cumulative totals								
---								
---								
---								
Period totals								
Cumulative totals								
---								
---								
---								
Period totals								
Cumulative totals								
---								
---								
---								
Period totals								
Cumulative totals								

Note:

A separate project ledger is established for each contract undertaken by a government contractor. The project ledger contains direct costs and applied overhead and G&A.

References indicate where the entry(s) originated.  
 (i.e. accounts payable journal, check register summary, labor distribution summary)

Small Technology Company  
 Project Cost Summary  
 Date:

For SBIR Project #:

Balance	Direct Labor	Direct Material	Direct Travel	Direct Other	Actual Overhead	Subtotal	Actual G & A	Total
Current Year-to-Date								
Project-to-Date								
Current Year-to-Date								
Project-to-Date								
Current Year-to-Date								
Project-to-Date								
Current Year-to-Date								
Project-to-Date								
Current Year-to-Date								
Project-to-Date								

Note: The project cost summary report the current month, year-to-date, and project-to-date balances.  
 This summary reports actual indirect costs. The project ledger reports applied indirect costs.  
 At year-end the project ledger is reconciled with the project summary to reflect actual costs.

SMALL TECHNOLOGY  
COMPANY  
PROJECT STATUS REPORT  
SBIR PROJECT # \_\_\_\_\_  
PERIOD ENDED: \_\_\_\_\_

LINE ITEM	INITIAL BUDGET	INCURRED THIS PERIOD	YEAR TO DATE	CUMULATIVE TO DATE
	-----	-----	----	-----
Direct Labor				
Direct Material				
Direct Travel				
Other Direct				
Subtotal	-----	-----	-----	-----
Actual Overhead				
Actual G&A				
Total Contract Costs	-----	-----	-----	-----
Fee Percentage	=====	=====	=====	=====
Contract Revenue				
Billings		=====	=====	=====
Payments		=====	=====	=====
Billed Receivable Balance		=====	=====	=====
Total Labor Hours		=====	=====	=====

Note: Additional management information may be included as deemed necessary.



LABOR DISTRIBUTION SUMMARY  
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED:

	CURRENT BALANCE	YEAR-TO- DATE BALANCE
Direct Labor:		
t-----		
y-----	l	
p-----	a	
e-----	b	
-----	o	
o-----	r	
f-----		
Total Direct Labor	-----	-----
	=====	=====
Overhead Labor:		
Supervision		
General Support		
Training		
Vacation		
Sick		
Idle Time		
Total Overhead Labor	-----	-----
	=====	=====
General & Administrative		
Accounting		
Administration		
Purchasing		
Sales		
Total G&A Labor	-----	-----
	=====	=====
TOTAL LABOR	-----	-----
	=====	=====

Note:

The labor distribution summary reports both current month and cumulative year-to-date balances. The labor distribution summary distributes labor from the time sheets to their related activity. A labor distribution journal is also developed from the time sheets for posting to the general ledger.